

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 and 11-15 remain in this application, while non-elected claims 7-10 are canceled. Reconsideration of the application is requested.

The “conductor constituted ... by an insulating layer” referred to by the Examiner at the top of page 2 of the Office Action is not claimed, and withdrawal of the objection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is in order.


The comments provided by the Examiner on pages 2-3 of the Office Action were considered in making the claim amendments set forth above. It is respectfully submitted that all of the claims remaining in this application comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

None of the claims of this application was rejected based on prior art, and each of claims 1-6 and 11-15 as it appears above should now be patentable.

This application should now be in condition for allowance. If there are any questions regarding this Reply or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

August 18, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Richard R. Diefendorf
Registration No. 32,390

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844
RRD:rd